





Blood 142 (2023) 6439-6441

## The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

## ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

## 634.MYELOPROLIFERATIVE SYNDROMES: CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL

## Impact of Kidney Dysfunction on Overall Survival in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: A Single-Center Retrospective Study

Eshrak Al-Shaibani<sup>1</sup>, Taylor Young<sup>2</sup>, Eshetu Atenafu, M.Sc, P. Stat<sup>3</sup>, Verna Cheung<sup>1</sup>, Safwan Alsibai<sup>1</sup>, Vikas Gupta, MD<sup>1</sup>, Dawn C. Maze, MD FRCPC, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Marta Beata Davidson, MD PhD<sup>1</sup>, Aniket Banker, MD<sup>1</sup>, Hassan Sibai, MD<sup>1</sup>

Introduction: Myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) are clonal hematopoietic stem cell disorders that can lead to the deposition of fibrous tissue, and by a propensity toward extramedullary hematopoiesis. The progression of kidney function and frequency of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in patients with MPN is unknown, although CKD is linked to increased mortality. In our study, we aimed to investigate the relationship between kidney function as measured by glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and MPN disease and risk of thrombosis. Moreover, we analyzing the risk factors for CKD, impact of different treatment modalities and effect of CKD on survival.

Patients & Method: We haveretrospectively screened 762 patients between Jan 1989 to May 2019 with MPN at Princess Margaret Cancer Centre. Diagnosis, of Polycythemia (PV), essential thrombocytosis (ET), and myelofibrosis (MF; comprising PMF, post-ET-PMF, and post-PV-PMF) was required as defined by the WHO classification. Patients with other MPNs (CNL, CEL, HES, MDS/MPN, MPN-U, Mastocytosis accelerating phase MPN and blast phase) or missing serial creatinine measurement or their CKD attributed to other causes (diabetic nephropathy, High blood pressure, polycystic kidney disease obstructive uropathy, Glomerulonephritis before MPN diagnosis and other) were excluded, resulting in study sample of 232 patients. The total cohort was subdivided according to the calculated eGFR, (ml/min/1.73m2) into eGFR1 (≥90, n=154), eGFR2 (60-89, n=12), and eGFR3 (<60, n=66). eGFR was collected retrospectively, because of small number of patients, we combined eGFR2 and eGFR3 in one group. Overall Survival (OS) was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier and log-rank test was used to assess impact variables of interest. Cox proportional hazards model was used to assess for prognostic factors of OS as well as to assess the joint effect of potential prognostic factors.

Results: Median age for the total cohort was 58 years (range;18-88.3), 54% were male. Median follow-up duration was 86 months (range:45.5-135). Diagnosis of MPN involved ET; n=21(9%)), PV; n=32 (14%) and MF; n=179 (77%). JAK2V617F status was documented in 219 patients; 67% were positive; of 106 patients analyzed for CARL 40.6% were positive. Cardiovascular risk factors were higher in PV and MF than in ET (59.4%, 57% &38%) respectively. A higher uric acid and LDH levels were found in PV and MF. A total of 19% had a history of thrombosis and rate was higher in ET (28.6%) than PV (21.9%) or MF (16.8%). Further patient's characteristics summarized in Table1. Kidney biopsy performed in 8 patients. The most prominent histological finding included focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (n=3), Ig A nephropathy (n=3), mesangial hypercellularity and sclerosis, extramedullary haematopoiesis (n=1) and lupus nephritis (n=1).

The risk factors for CKD based on eGFR group are presented in (Table 2). MPN diagnosis is a significant risk factor for kidney function (p=0.0117). In MF a higher rate of eGFR 88.5% compared with PV and ET. JAK2V617 demonstrates a significant impact on abnormal eGFR (p=0.05);. IPSS and DIPSS score are a significant risk factor for kidney dysfunction (p=0.0014, and 0.0074 respectively). High uric acid levels and neutrophil counts were higher in high eGFR group (p=0.0014, and 0.0074 respectively). No association found between thrombosis and high eGFR.

Hydroxyurea treatment does not have an impact on CKD, however, more patients received Ruxolitinb in abnormal eGFR (p=0.001). CKD has significant impact on OS. The 5 years OS for eGFR1 was 75% (95% CI 67%-81%), and for abnormal eGFR was 63.9% (95% CI 52%-73%), p=0004 (Fig 1).

Conclusion: Higher incidence of kidney dysfunction associated in MF compared to PV and ET, there was no association between thrombosis and high eGFR as we excluded all other cause of CKD. MF with abnormal eGFR associated with high

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Division of Medical Oncology and Hematology, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network, Toronto, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Queen's University Department of Family Medicine, Kingston, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Biostatistics, University Health Network, Toronto, CAN

**ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY** Session 634

DIPSS score. MPN patients with kidney dysfunction significantly affects OS, which indicate close monitoring and prospective study is required.

Disclosures Gupta: GSK: Other: Travel to EHA 2023 for invited talk at GSK sponsored MPN education session; BMS Celgene, Roche, AbbVie, Pfizer, Sierra Oncology, CTI Biopharma, GSK: Other: Participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board; Novartis, BMS Celgene, SMP Oncology, AbbVie, Constellation Biopharma, Pfizer, GSK Pharma, CTI Biopharma: Consultancy; Novartis, BMS Celgene, GSK: Honoraria; BMS, Celgene, Roche, Abb Vie, Pfizer, Sierra Oncology, CTI Biopharma: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Novartis, BMS Celgene, Sierra Oncology, AbbVie, Constellation Biopharma, Pfizer, GSK Pharma, CTI Biopharma: Consultancy.

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-189318

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

	Total	PV	ET	MF
Modian ago (rango) Sender, n (%)	58 (18-88.3)	50.7 (38-88.3)	45 (21-70)	60.16 (20-85
amon, n (n) Male	125 (53.9)	15 (46.0)	8 (38.1)	102 (57)
Female	107 (46.1)	17 (53.1)	13 (61.9)	77 (43)
ytogenetic, n (%)				
Normal	69 (56.6)	9 (56.3)	3 (60)	57 (56.4)
Abnomal	53 (43.4)	0	2 (40)	44 (43.6)
Missing	110	16	16	78
ARL, n (%)				
Yes	43 (40.6)	. 0	7 (58.3)	36 (429)
No	63 (59.4)	10 (100)	5 (41.7)	48 (57.1)
Missing	126	22	9	95
4K2V617F, n (%)				
Yes	147 (63.4)	30 (93.7)	8 (38.1)	111 (62)
No	72 (31)	2 (6.3)	11 (52.4)	59 (33)
Missing	13	0	3	10
łydroxyuma, n (%)				414
fine	91 (39.9)	15 (48.4)	10 (47.6)	66 (37)
No	137 (60.1)	16 (51.6)	11 (52.4)	110 (62.5)
Missing	4	1	0	3
AX inhibitor treatments, n (%)				
Ruxolitinib	74 (32.0)	21 (65.6)	0	66 (37.1)
Others.	25 (108)	8 (25.0)	1 (4.8)	21 (118)
No JAK inhibitor treatment	132 (57.1)	3 (9.4)	20 (95.2)	91 (51.1)
Missing	1	0	0	1
GFR, in (NG)				
wGFR1	154 (66.4)	26 (813)	18 (85.7)	110 (61.5)
eGFR2	12 (5.2)	1 (3.1)	0	11 (6.1)
sGFR3	66 (28.4)	5 (15.6)	3 (14.3)	58 (32.4)
SCT, n (%)	WW (40.4)	2 (43.0)	2 (44.3)	Jun (32.4)
Yes	51 (22)	7 (22)	2 (9.5)	42 (235)
No.	181(78)	25 (78)		137 (76.9)
No fistory of diabetes, e (%)	181(/8)	25 (78)	19 (905)	137 (763)
Yes.	25 (10.8)	3 (9.4)	1 (4.8)	21 (11.7)
No	207 (89.2)	29 (90.6)	20 (95.2)	158 (88.3)
ardiovascular risk factors, n				
16)	103 (44.4)	13 (40.6)	13 (61.9)	77 (43)
Yes.	129 (55.6)	19 (59.4)	8 (38.1)	102 (57)
No				
COG, n (%)				
0-1	220 (94.8)	30 (93.8)	21 (100)	169 (94.4)
v2	12 (5.2)	2 (6.3)	0	10 (5.6)
hrombosis, n (%)				
Yes	43 (185)	7 (21.9)	6 (28.6)	30 (16.8)
No	189 (81.5)	25 (78.1)	15 (71.4)	149 (83.2)
Asdiven WBC (sange)	9.6 (0.9-84.9)	11.70(130-	6.15 (3.5-13)	9.75 (0.9-84)
		28.1)		
Andrian platelet (range)	266.5 (0, 2536)	414 (68- 1043)	603.5 (340, 2536)	229 (0-2254
Addien croatining (range)	72 (10.2-219)	70 (50-139)	69 (49-229)	76 (102-18
Audien oGFR (nengo)	194.4 (25.4-235)	99.3 (2):1-1239	101.6 (25.4-121.7)	92.8(29.8-22
Andrian unic acid (range)	377.5 (2.26-944)		285 (194-380)	392 (226-94
		873)		
Addian LDH (range)	521.5(165-5876)		306 (178-672)	573 (169-587
fodian CRP (range)	4 (1-78)	4 (1-23)	1 (1-2)	5 (1-78)
PSS score, m (%)				
0	23	19	23	37 (22)
1	2.7	2.2	20	50 (298)
2	- 27	22	23	38 (22.6)
28	23	33	- 23	43 (25.6)
dissima	- 3	33	- 23	33
RPSS score, m (10)				
D and an annual or find				41 (24.4)
1.2				
1-2 3-4	70	92	- 5	64 (38)
	5		5	42 (25)
5-6	183	*	183	21 (125)
Missing				11
RPSS plus score, m (%)				
0	93	1.0	200	27 (23.3)
		16	2	30 (25.9)
		12	23	40 (345)
1-2				
1-2 3-4	8	12		
1-2 3-4 34	8	- 18	- 5	19 (16.4%)
1-2 3-4 34 Missing	one Park			63
1-2 3-4 34				6.3 idom; ECOG,

Table 2: Risk factors for decrease k	eGFR1 (Normal kidney)	eGFR2/eGFR3 (abnormal Kidney)	Pivali
	(N+154)	(N=78)	
Diagnosis, n (%)	***********		0.01
PV	26 (16.9)	6 (7.7)	
ET	18 (11.7)	3 (3.8)	
ME	110 (71.4)	69 (88.5)	
Thrombosis, n (%)	110 (/1.4)	07 (00.3)	0.84
Yes	28 (18.2)	15 (19.2)	0.0
No.	126 (81.8)	63 (80.8)	
Cardiovascular risk factors, n (%)	200 (02.0)	49 (40.4)	0.33
Ves	82 (53.2)	47 (60.3)	0.5
No.	72 (46.8)	31 (39.7)	
NO Diabetes, n (%)	72 (40.0)	31 (39.7)	0.1
	13 (8.4)	A	0.13
Yes No	13 (8.4)	12 (15.4) 66 (84.6)	
			222
WBC, median (range)	8.80 (1.3 71.7)	9.9 (0.9 84.9)	0.0
Platelets, median (range)	283 (10 2536)	226(8: 1112)	0.0
Neutrophils, median (range)	5.9 (0.16 41.8)	7.22 (0.15 54.85)	0.0
LDH, median (range)	495 (165 5876)	546 (209 3673)	0.5
CRP, median (range)	6 (1	3.5 (1 78)	0.3
	56)		
Uric acid, median (range)	353 (168-873)	430 (2.26 944)	0.00
JAKZV617F, n (%)			0.05
Yes	91 (62.8)	56 (75.7)	
No	54 (37.2)	18 (24.3)	
Missing	9	4	
CARL, n (%)			0.1
Yes	34 (44.7)	9 (30)	
No	42 (55.3)	21 (70)	
Missing	78	48	
MPN duration, median (range)	92 (1.5 310.9)	75.81 (3.75 467.84)	0.3
Hydroxyurea treatment, n (%)		ed according to the total of a	0.3
Yes	58 (37.9)	33 (44)	
No	95 (62.1)	42 (56)	
Missing	1	3	
Treatment (JAK inhibitor), n (%)	1.0		0.00
Ruxolitinib	41 (26.6)	33 (42.9)	2000
Other	14 (9.1)	11 (14.3)	
No JAK inhibitor treatment	99 (64.3)	33 (42.9)	
Missing	33 (04.3)	35 (42.9)	
Missing IPSS score			0.00
0 PSS 90070	22 (20 2)	er des est	0.00
	31 (30.7)	6 (8.8)	
1	32 (31.7)	19 (27.9)	
2	19 (18.8)	19 (27.9)	
23	19 (18.8)	24 (35.3)	
Missing	9	1	
DIPSS score			0.00
0	33 (32.7)	8 (11.8)	
12	38 (37.6)	27 (39.7)	
34	21 (20.8)	21 (30.9)	
56	9 (8.9)	12 (17.6)	
Missing	9	1	
DIPSS plus score			0.2
0	20 (29.4)	7 (14.6)	7.7
1	17 (25)	13 (27.1)	
23	22 (32.)	18 (37.5)	
24	9 (13.2)	10 (20.8)	
Missing	42	21	
Abbreviation: CRP, C reactive prot System; eGFR, estimated glomerul International Prognostic Scoring; L Polycythemia: WBC, white blood or	ar filtration rate; ET, e DH, Lactate dehydroge	ssential thrombocytosis;	IPSS,

Polycythemia; WBC, white blood cell.

1.0 
0.8 
0.6 
0.2 
Kidney Function (p=0.0036) 
1 : eGFR1 (normal kidney) 
2 : eGFR2/eGFR3 (abnormal Kidney) 
1.0 
0.2 
Number at Risk 
Months since start of treatment

53

1 154

2 78

103

43

73 30 57 19

Figure 1. Overall survival according to eGFR

Figure 1

♠ blood® 2 NOVEMBER 2023 | VOLUME 142, NUMBER Supplement 1